

Role of the hippocampus in contextual modulation of fear extinction**

Lingzhi Kong^{1,2}, Xihong Wu^{2,3}, Liang Li^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

²Speech and Hearing Research Center, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

³Key Center for Machine Perception and Intelligence, Ministry of Education, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Abstract: Fear extinction is an important form of emotional learning, and affects neural plasticity. Cue fear extinction is a classical form of inhibitory learning that can be used as an exposure-based treatment for phobia, because the long-term extinction memory produced during cue fear extinction can limit the over-expression of fear. The expression of this inhibitory memory partly depends on the context in which the extinction learning occurs. Studies such as transient inhibition, electrophysiology and brain imaging have proved that the hippocampus – an important structure in the limbic system – facilitates memory retrieval by contextual cues. Mediation of the hippocampus-medial prefrontal lobe circuit may be the neurobiological basis of this process. This article has reviewed the role of the hippocampus in the learning and retrieval of fear extinction. Contextual modulation of fear extinction may rely on a neural network consisting of the hippocampus, the medial prefrontal cortex and the amygdala.

Key Words: hippocampus; fear; extinction; context-specific; exposure-based treatment

Lingzhi Kong★, Master, Department of Psychology, Speech and Hearing Research Center, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Supported by: the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 30670704*

Kong LZ, Wu XH, Li L. Role of the hippocampus in contextual modulation of fear extinction. Neural Regen Res 2008;3(12):1386-9

www.crter.cn
www.sjzsyj.com

INTRODUCTION

Cue fear extinction (CFE) is a form of fear inhibition that has been used in clinical treatment, such as exposure-based treatment, for a long time. In CFE, the conditioned stimulus (*e.g.* a tone) that has been paired with the aversive unconditioned stimulus (*e.g.* a foot-shock) in fear conditioning, is repeatedly presented alone. Consequently, fear level decreases in the test after fear extinction. It is widely accepted that fear extinction partly depends on new learning specific to the context in which the extinction occurs, and is not merely the reversal of the previous fear learning^[1].

The hippocampus – a critical structure in the limbic system – plays a key role in the representation of context and the regulation of memory retrieval *via* contextual cues. Cue fear conditioning does not depend on hippocampal activities. After fear conditioning and fear extinction, the conditioned stimulus acquires two conflicting meanings, *i.e.* that it predicts or does not predict the occurrence of the unconditioned stimulus. According to Hirsh's information storage model of the nervous system, the hippocampus uses

contextual indices to mark and retrieve the appropriate meaning associated with the retrieval context^[2]. Therefore, the hippocampus might also play a crucial role in the acquisition and expression of extinction memory.

ACADEMIC BACKGROUND

As early as 1927, Pavlov demonstrated that extinction involves new learning and is not just a reversal of the previous learning. For the last 60 years, it has been generally accepted that the destruction of the learned association between the conditioned stimulus and the unconditioned stimulus is the main mechanism of extinction. However, since the 1990s, many scientists have accepted Pavlov's assertion that new learning occurs in fear extinction. Contextual modulation of the expression of the extinction proves that the previous learned association between the conditioned stimulus and unconditioned stimulus is not abolished by the extinction training. This indicates that the mechanism of extinction learning is different from that of fear conditioning. As a result, the neural mechanisms of extinction memory have become one of the most intensively studied areas of emotional learning. The amygdala, the foundation of

Received: 2008-06-09; Accepted: 2008-10-24 (15200804290002/D)

Corresponding author: Liang Li, Department of Psychology, Peking University; Speech and Hearing Research Center, Peking University; Key Center for Machine Perception and Intelligence, Ministry of Education, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China E-mail: liangli@pku.edu.cn

fear learning, the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) – closely related to inhibition of the amygdala – and the hippocampus have become the foci of these studies.

OBJECTIVE

Fear extinction is one kind of inhibitory memory whose expression is particularly context-specific. It is mainly expressed in the context of extinction training. As an important structure for the contextual gating of memory expression, the hippocampus might play a crucial part in the acquisition and expression of extinction memory. This article reviews the evidence concerning the role of the hippocampus in context-specific fear extinction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data retrieval

Retrieval-related content: Lingzhi Kong in February 2008 (also tracks the newly published articles since then).

Retrieval period: 2000/2008

Retrieval databases: PubMed (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>); HighWire (<http://highwire.stanford.edu>).

Retrieval keywords: the first group of keywords included “hippocampus”, “fear”, “extinction” and “context-dependent”; another group included “hippocampus”, “fear”, “extinction” and “context-specific”; the third included “fear”, “extinction” and “renewal”.

Retrieval articles: 50.

Retrieval methods

Inclusion criteria: full-text experimental reports or reviews; lesions and temporal inhibition of the hippocampus in extinction learning and expression; expression-induced activity in the hippocampus; extinction-induced neural plasticity in the hippocampus and related neural structures; the projection between the hippocampus and other nuclei; implications for exposure therapy.

Exclusion criteria: repetitive studies.

Data selection: nineteen articles were retained when the articles with repetitive content were excluded. The references of some articles were retrieved manually.

Literature analysis: studies concerning the hippocampus became mature after 2000. However, many study the role of the hippocampus alone. Articles published after 2005 began to test the role of the hippocampus within the neural network of extinction.

DATA SUMMARY AND EXTRACTION

Measurements of context-dependent extinction

Recently, studies on CFE have been greatly facilitated by the maturation of techniques for its measurement. A typical paradigm for fear extinction is a three-phase behavioral model consisting of fear conditioning, fear extinction and test. The context in which the test occurs, and the fear response level induced by the conditioned stimulus are the main input (independent variable) and output (dependent variable). In the test phase, the conditioned stimulus-induced fear level in a

context other than the context in which extinction occurred, is significantly stronger than that in the context where the extinction training occurred. This indicates the contextual dependence of fear extinction^[1].

Contexts that can modulate the retrieval of fear extinction include the physical background (*e.g.* the context where extinction training took place), internal drug cues, emotions and the passage of time. The physical context was the most frequently used independent variable in the current studies due to its convenience and validity. The renewal effect is one of the most important behavioral paradigms measuring the contextual modulation of fear extinction. After extinction learning of the conditioned stimulus, the fear response, which has been extinguished in the extinction training, returns if the test is in a context other than that where extinction learning occurred^[1]. This article mainly reviews studies using the renewal effect to test the contextual dependence of fear extinction.

Typically, different study designs of fear extinction are represented by three capital letters representing the context used. For example, ABC means fear conditioning occurs in context A, fear extinction occurs in context B and the test occurs in context C^[2]. In the AAA or ABB design, the conditioned stimulus causes a low level of fear, indicating that the memory of extinction is expressed. In contrast, in the ABA or ABC design, the fear level induced by the conditioned stimulus is high, indicating that the fear renewal occurs. For presentation of the conditioned stimulus in the test, study designs measuring the renewal effect are divided into two different types: conflicting design and non-conflicting design. In the conflicting design, such as AAA or ABC, the two meanings of the conditioned stimulus (either paired with the unconditioned stimulus or unpaired with the unconditioned stimulus) occurred or did not occur in the test context, respectively. However, although the conditioned stimulus also has two meanings in the non-conflicting design, the specific meaning could be marked by the test context.

Dysfunction of the hippocampus affects fear extinction

The function of hippocampus can be permanently eliminated by electrolytic or neurotoxic lesions, and temporarily deactivated by injecting muscimol, an agonist of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors. The current review pays particular attention to the reversible inactivation techniques as they can specifically disrupt the function of the hippocampus in certain phases without affecting its function in other phases. These techniques have been frequently used in recent studies. The hippocampus plays a different role in the acquisition and retrieval of fear extinction.

First, the hippocampus is necessary for the contextual retrieval of fear after extinction. Deactivation of the dorsal hippocampus (DHC) or ventral hippocampus (VHC) before the test disrupted the renewal of fear in ABC or AAB designs^[2-3, 5]. However, the fear renewal was not affected in the ABA design, indicating that the hippocampus may participate in fear renewal only in conflicting designs^[2]. In contrast, the expression of fear extinction was not affected by pre-test hippocampal inhibition compared with the performance of the control. Interestingly, neural activity in

the lateral nucleus of the amygdala, in which long-term potentiation (LTP) mediates the memory of fear, does show fear renewal in the test after fear extinction^[3]. More importantly, the renewal of neural activity was also disrupted by temporal inhibition of the hippocampus. However, brain imaging studies on human and monkey subjects revealed that the hippocampus was activated not only in the expression of fear renewal, but also in the expression of fear extinction^[4]. This indicated that the expression of extinction memory might also be related to activity in the hippocampus.

Recent data have shown that the DHC is also involved in contextual encoding of fear extinction^[5]. A high level of fear was induced by a conditioned stimulus in any of the ABB, ABA or ABC designs if the activity of the hippocampus was temporarily inhibited before the extinction learning. Therefore, hippocampal inhibition before extinction training caused disruption of the context-dependent expression of fear because of failure in the expression of long-term extinction memory. In addition, the sub-regions of hippocampus (CA1 and CA3) play different roles in the learning and expression of extinction^[6].

The neural circuit of fear extinction: hippocampus-medial prefrontal cortex-amygdala (HC-mPFC-A)

The neural circuit of context-dependent fear extinction consists of three functional modes: fear expression, fear inhibition and contextual gating. The amygdala mediates auditory fear conditioning and plays an important role in fear learning and expression. Fear inhibition is based on the neural network of the mPFC-A. Extinction learning causes neural plasticity changes in the mPFC. The mPFC activates the GABA system in the amygdala and inhibits fear expression. The hippocampus sends projections both to the mPFC and the amygdala and may play a significant role in the contextual gating of fear expression.

First, the hippocampus could modulate the activity of the amygdala indirectly *via* the mPFC. Fear inhibition is closely associated with neural plasticity of the mPFC, in particular the infralimbic cortex. Extinction learning induces LTP from the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus to the mPFC, which is closely related to fear inhibition. In addition, the LTP in this pathway at 1 week is greater than that at 1 day^[7]. However, it seems that the hippocampus could modulate the neural plasticity in the mPFC. If the VHC is stimulated immediately by low frequency stimulation (LFS), LTP from the VHC to the mPFC induced by fear extinction, which disappeared after 1 week, is blocked, the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus-mPFC LTP is also impaired, and there is difficulty in expressing the extinction memory^[8]. Extinction learning also induces LTP from the DHC to the mPFC^[9]. In addition, immediate LFS after extinction learning can also block this LTP and cause difficulty in the expression of extinction memory. Due to the important role of the hippocampus in the learning and expression of CFE, neural plasticity in the hippocampus-mPFC may be the primary means of mediating the context-dependent component of fear extinction.

Second, the hippocampus could modulate the activity of the amygdala directly. There are many projections between the

basal nucleus of the amygdala (BA) and the hippocampus. However, there is no evidence from lesion studies of the BA that the BA is necessary in fear learning and extinction^[10]. Nevertheless, recent data have shown that lesion of the mPFC does not impair the formation and expression of extinction memory^[11]. In addition, LTP and long-term depression in the DHC can modulate the learning and expression of extinction memory, in spite of the lesion in the mPFC. LFS of the DHC disrupts the LTP in the DHC-mPFC induced by extinction learning, and the expression of extinction memory. After several hours, the great stimulation of the DHC reverses the difficulty in expressing the extinction memory caused by the LFS of the DHC, but the neural plasticity in the mPFC is not re-established^[7]. This indicates there is probably a direct modulation pathway from the hippocampus to the amygdala. In summary, there is a triangular circuit consisting of the hippocampus, mPFC and amygdala. The hippocampus modulates activity of the amygdala directly or indirectly. The activity of neurons in the lateral nucleus of the amygdala shows a context-dependent fear response, which needs the hippocampus^[12].

Clinical implications of the renewal effect for exposure-based therapies

Freud discovered that repeated presentation of a fear inducer (*e.g.* a spider) to a patient with panic disorder, a paradigm similar to fear extinction, can efficiently reduce the clinical symptoms. However, relapse of clinical symptoms always occurs following this exposure therapy^[13]. More interestingly, the fear renewal could truly be reduced by the manipulation of some parameters of the behavioral paradigm of fear extinction.

First, increasing the number of contexts in which extinction training occurs can facilitate the expression of fear extinction in other contexts where no extinction learning has taken place^[14]. Extinction learning in multiple contexts reduced the fear renewal in a non-extinction context. However, this phenomenon is not very stable and needs further validation^[15-16].

Second, although the idea that new inhibitory memories are formed in fear extinction has been accepted, there is also some component of reversal of the previous learning, which is context-independent. The reversal component can be increased by shortening the time interval (< 1 hour) between the fear conditioning and extinction learning, resulting in a decrease in fear renewal^[17-18]. However, the effect of a short interval can only be used with low or moderate levels of fear^[19].

Finally, increasing the time of the conditioned stimulus in extinction learning can reduce the renewal effect. Some substances show an effect in reducing fear renewal, which may be used as auxiliary medicines in exposure-based therapies^[20-21]. For example, injection of D-cycloserine, a partial agonist of N-methyl-D-aspartic acid, can significantly potentiate the expression of extinction memory and show potential in reducing fear renewal. If this effect can be confirmed, it would be a useful medicine in exposure-based therapies. Furthermore, some other substances, such as valproic acid and anisomycin, also show certain effects on

fear renewal reduction^[22].

CONCLUSION

It is evident that the hippocampus mediates the contextual modulation of fear extinction. The hippocampus is necessary for learning of context-specific extinction memory and expression of fear after extinction. In addition, the hippocampus is the primary site of storage of the context-specific component of extinction memory. Its neural plasticity is the basis of the extinction memory-related neural plasticity in the mPFC. Moreover, the hippocampus, mPFC and amygdala comprise the neural circuit of context-specific fear extinction. Studies on the contextual dependence of fear and its neural basis are important for the effective treatment of anxiety disorders and reduction in relapse rates after exposure-based treatments.

REFERENCES

- 1 Bouton ME, Westbrook RF, Corcoran KA, et al. Contextual and temporal modulation of extinction: behavioral and biological mechanisms. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006;60(4):352-60
- 2 Corcoran KA, Maren S. Factors regulating the effects of hippocampal inactivation on renewal of conditional fear after extinction. *Learn Mem* 2004;11(5):598-603
- 3 Maren S, Hobin JA. Hippocampal regulation of context-dependent neuronal activity in the lateral amygdala. *Learn Mem* 2007;14(4):318-24
- 4 Bruchey AK, Shumake J, Gonzalez-Lima F. Network model of fear extinction and renewal functional pathways. *Neuroscience* 2007;145(2):423-37
- 5 Corcoran KA, Desmond TJ, Frey KA, et al. Hippocampal inactivation disrupts the acquisition and contextual encoding of fear extinction. *J Neurosci* 2005;25(39):8978-87
- 6 Ji J, Maren S. Differential roles for hippocampal areas CA1 and CA3 in the contextual encoding and retrieval of extinguished fear. *Learn Mem* 2008;15(4):244-51
- 7 Hugues S, Garcia R. Reorganization of learning-associated prefrontal synaptic plasticity between the recall of recent and remote fear extinction memory. *Learn Mem* 2007;14(8):520-4
- 8 Ji J, Maren S. Electrolytic lesions of the dorsal hippocampus disrupt renewal of conditional fear after extinction. *Learn Mem* 2005;12(3):270-6
- 9 Hugues S, Chessel A, Lena I, et al. Prefrontal infusion of PD098059 immediately after fear extinction training blocks extinction-associated prefrontal synaptic plasticity and decreases prefrontal ERK2 phosphorylation. *Synapse* 2006;60(4):280-7
- 10 Anglada-Figueroa D, Quirk GJ. Lesions of the basal amygdala block expression of conditioned fear but not extinction. *J Neurosci* 2005;25(42):9680-5
- 11 Garcia R, Chang CH, Maren S. Electrolytic lesions of the medial prefrontal cortex do not interfere with long-term memory of extinction of conditioned fear. *Learn Mem* 2006;13(1):14-7
- 12 Hermans D, Dirikx T, Vansteenwegen D, et al. Reinstatement of fear responses in human aversive conditioning. *Behav Res Ther* 2005;43(4):533-51
- 13 Gunther LM, Denniston JC, Miller RR. Conducting exposure treatment in multiple contexts can prevent relapse. *Behav Res Ther* 1998;36(1):75-91
- 14 Vansteenwegen D, Vervliet B, Iberico C, et al. The repeated confrontation with videotapes of spiders in multiple contexts attenuates renewal of fear in spider-anxious students. *Behav Res Ther* 2007;45(6):1169-79
- 15 Myers KM, Ressler KJ, Davis M. Different mechanisms of fear extinction dependent on length of time since fear acquisition. *Learn Mem* 2006;13(2):216-23
- 16 Kim J, Lee S, Park K, et al. Amygdala depotentiation and fear extinction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104(52):20955-60
- 17 Maren S, Chang CH. Recent fear is resistant to extinction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103(47):18020-5
- 18 Alvarez RP, Johnson L, Grillon C. Contextual-specificity of short-delay extinction in humans: renewal of fear-potentiated startle in a virtual environment. *Learn Mem* 2007;14(4):247-53
- 19 Mao SC, Hsiao YH, Gean PW. Extinction training in conjunction with a partial agonist of the glycine site on the NMDA receptor erases memory trace. *J Neurosci* 2006;26(35):8892-9
- 20 Woods AM, Bouton ME. D-cycloserine facilitates extinction but does not eliminate renewal of the conditioned emotional response. *Behav Neurosci* 2006;120(5):1159-62
- 21 Bredy TW, Barad M. The histone deacetylase inhibitor valproic acid enhances acquisition, extinction, and reconsolidation of conditioned fear. *Learn Mem* 2008;15(1):39-45
- 22 Duvarci S, Nader K. Characterization of fear memory reconsolidation. *J Neurosci* 2004;24(42):9269-75

Contributors: This review was discussed and conceived by all the authors. The manuscript was written by all the authors and the article has been revised five times. Lingzhi Kong and Liang Li take responsibility for this review.

What is already known on this topic: Fear extinction is an important type of inhibitory learning with new memory formation. After fear conditioning and extinction, the conditioned stimulus has two conflicting meanings: that it either predicts or does not predict the unconditioned stimulus. The hippocampus plays a crucial role in the representation of the context and facilitation of memory retrieval by contextual cues. The hippocampus and the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) construct a complete nerve circuit of context-specific fear extinction.

What this study adds: Hippocampus-mPFC-amygdala might mediate the contextual modulation of fear extinction learning and expression. LTP is induced by fear extinction both in the pathway from the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus to the mPFC and from the hippocampus to the mPFC. Although LTP of the mediodorsal thalamic nucleus-mPFC lasts longer and is stronger than that of the hippocampus-mPFC, the hippocampus not only modulates the activity of the amygdala indirectly *via* the mPFC, but also affects the amygdala directly, implying a direct projection from the hippocampus to the amygdala, which is independent of the indirect pathway via the mPFC. Therefore, the amygdala, mPFC and hippocampus constitute a triangle of neural circuits mediating fear extinction. The hippocampus plays an integral role in the contextual modulation of fear extinction. It is of great importance to understand the context-dependence of fear extinction and its neural mechanisms to improve the efficiency and to reduce the relapse rate of exposure-based therapies.

(Edited by Su LL/Wang L)